

Deep Dive into Gradients: Better Optimization for 3D Object Detection with Gradient-Corrected IoU Supervision

Observation **Abnormal gradient** 200 250 300 350 400 Training epochs (b) Different convergence for multi-scale objects. - 3D IoU loss suffers from abnormal gradient changes during training. - Firstly, it produces small gradients for large targets. - Secondly, IoU loss performs differently for objects with different scales. Preliminary — Shape ---- Cente - Angle

Training epochs (a) Convergence of different variables during regression.

prediction GT (b) Visual demo of 3D box regression.

Center points are easy to be regressed. Therefore, we only pay attention to the optimization of shape and angle as follows.

Qi Ming, Lingjuan Miao, Zhe Ma, Lin Zhao, Zhiqiang Zhou, Xuhui Huang, Yuanpei Chen, Yufei Guo

Analysis and Proof

Special cases





(a) Center-aligned case

(b) Contained case

Mathematical proof

With the following geometric relationship:

$$\begin{cases} I = \frac{h_t h_p l}{sin\theta}, \\ U = w_t h_t l_t + w_p h_p l_p - I, \end{cases}$$

The gradients of 3D IoU loss can be obtained:

$$\frac{\partial IoU}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial (\frac{I}{U})}{\partial x} = (\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \cdot U - \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \cdot I)/U^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}_{IoU}}{\partial \theta} = \text{IoU}(1 + \text{IoU}) \cdot \cot \theta$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}_{IoU}}{\partial h_p} = -\mathrm{IoU} \cdot \frac{V_t}{Uh_p}, \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}_{IoU}}{\partial w_p} = \mathrm{IoU} \cdot \frac{h_p l_p}{U}. \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}_{IoU}}{\partial l_p} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{IoU} \cdot \frac{V_t}{Ul_p}, & l = l_p, \\ -\mathrm{IoU} \cdot \frac{w_p h_p}{U}, & l = l_t, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

The above derivation justifies our observations. Therefore, existing 3D IoU losses need to be optimized to achieve better performance.

Methodology

Gradient correction for angles

The corrected gradients of 3D IoU loss should solve the following issues:

- Abnormal gradient growth as angle converges - When the angular error is large, small gradient is not conducive to the angle convergence. Paradigm of GCIoU is as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{GCIoU} = -ln(\text{IoU}) \cdot f(\theta) + g(\theta)$$

Specifically, the modules are well-designed:

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{GCIoU} = -ln(\mathrm{IoU}) \cdot e^{\theta^{\alpha}} + \tan\theta$$



Gradient correction for scales

Scale correction is performed directly during optimization process as follows:

$$s_{t+1} = s_t - \eta \cdot \frac{\partial L_{GCIoU}}{\partial s} \cdot \mathrm{U}^{\frac{2}{3}}$$







GHENT UNIVERSITY

Experiments

Component-wise ablation

	GC		CD	Car (IoU=0.7)		
	f(heta)	g(heta)	GK	Easy	Moderate	Hard
1				88.61	78.12	77.27
2	\checkmark			89.21	78.56	78.22
3		\checkmark		88.98	78.53	77.75
4	\checkmark	\checkmark		89.54	78.81	78.39
5			\checkmark	89.16	78.54	78.01
6	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	89.85	80.03	78.66

Ablations about modules

	$ P(\theta)$	lpha	Easy	Car (IoU=0.7) Moderate	Hard
-ln(IoU)	0		88.61	78.12	77.27
	$\alpha \theta$	1 2 3	88.86 89.06 89.19	78.19 78.29 78.43	77.22 77.50 77.62
GCIoU	θ^{α}	1 2 3 5	89.38 89.54 89.15 87.26	78.62 78.81 78.33 77.35	77.52 78.39 77.41 75.89

	g(heta)	Easy	Car (IoU=0.7) Moderate	Hard
-ln(IoU)		88.61	78.12	77.27
GCIoU	$\begin{vmatrix} \theta \\ \tan \theta \\ e^{\theta} - 1 \end{vmatrix}$	88.69 88.86 88.98	78.23 78.36 78.53	77.32 76.91 77.75

Source Code

Our code is available at https://github.com/ming71/GCIoU-loss